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## HOW THE VOTES WERE SCORED

$\mathbf{T}^{2}$he scores were calculated by dividing the number of pro-environmental votes cast by the number of votes the legislator had the opportunity to cast on environmental issues. Absences were counted as a negative vote. As Speaker, Shap Smith presides over the House and only votes when there is a tie his performance is not scored. Likewise, since Rep Willem Jewett presided over the vote on H. 464 (the "fracking" ban), he was not scored on that vote.

## HOW TO USE THIS SCORECARD

VT LCV has prepared this legislative scorecard
to highlight legislators' voting records on environmental issues. If your senators and representatives have supported the environment through their votes in the State House, thank them. If they haven't, ask them why not and share your views on environmental issues with them. Vermont's citizen legislature works best when voters hold their legislators accountable.

## KNOW THE SCORE

The Vermont League of Conservation Voters is a nonpartisan political organization working to turn your environmental values into state priorities. We seek to make environmental conservation a top priority for candidates, elected officials and voters

## HOW THE VOTES WERE SELECTED

This scorecard is based on the legislative priorities of the environmental and conservation organizations that form the Vermont Environmental Collaborative, as well as other environmental issues. Determining factors in the decision to list particular votes include whether the vote was substantive or procedural in nature, and which vote had the greatest effect on the outcome of the legislation.

Please note the limitations of this report. Only roll call votes have been included, as voice votes are not recorded by name. A simple numeric score beside a legislator's name cannot convey the depth of discussions about the issues, nor can it clearly indicate which legislators worked to protect the environment and which legislators worked to undermine environmental protections. This is particularly true when it comes to work done in the committee room. Nevertheless, the scorecard is a great resource to determine a legislator's environmental commitment.



## HOUSE BILL DESCRIPTIONS

H. 91 (Act 52) Wildlife Bill
H. 56 (Act 47)

Vermont
Energy Act
of 2011
*
H. 258 (Act 73)

Public
Participation in
Environmental
Enforcement
Proceedings
莱
H. 464 (Act 152)

Hydraulic
Natural Gas
Fracking Ban
H. 468

Vermont Energy
Act of 2012
莱
H. 496 (Act 142)

Working Lands
*

## Environmental Position: Support Bill

Act 52 affirms that wildlife is a public trust resource and cannot be owned by an individual. It also transferred authority for regulating captive hunt facilities from the Agency of Agriculture to the Department of Fish and Wildlife. Among other things, the bill was aimed at reducing the chance that wild animal populations could be infected with chronic wasting disease or other diseases carried by captive animals that may escape from captive hunt enclosures.
Yeas: 102 Nays: 39 Absent: 08 ~ Bill Signed by Governor Shumlin
Environmental Position: Support Bill
Act 47 expands net metering. Homes and businesses may install solar or small wind generation to offset their electric bills. It incorporates the PACE program, allowing towns to create special tax districts encouraging private local investment in energy efficiency or renewables projects with assistance from Efficiency Vermont. Tt enables the Clean Energy Development Fund to help Vermont move economically toward clean energy without Vermont Yankee in the equation.
Yeas: 99 Nays: 33 Absent: 11 ~ Bill Signed by Governor Shumlin
Environmental Position: Support Bill
Act 73 gives citizens the opportunity to weigh in when the state proposes fines for violations of the state's environmental laws.

Yeas: 109 Nays: 29 Absent: 15 ~ Bill Signed by Governor Shumlin

## Environmental Position: Support Bill

Act 152 makes Vermont the first state to prohibit hydraulic fracturing (aka "fracking") for natural gas, a process in which chemicals, water, and sand are forced into the bedrock to release natural gas. The practice has been blamed for contaminated groundwater in other states.

Yeas: 103 Nays: 36 Absent: 10 Bill Signed by Governor Shumlin

## Environmental Position: Support Bill

(see also S.214) Expands Vermont's community-scale renewable energy "standard offer" program, ensuring renewable energy developers of predictable competitive pricing for their small clean energy projects. It enables several study projects on the path to alternative energy.

Yeas: 91 Nays: 46 Absent: $12 \sim$ Bill Replaced with S. 214

## Environmental Position: Support Bill

Act 142 supports businesses that rely on Vermont's rural working landscape by strategically investing in farm and forest-related value-added processing. In so doing, the bill helps to conserve the landscape by increasing the economic viability of these enterprises.

## HOUSE BILL DESCRIPTIONS

S. 202 (Act 138)

Regulation of
flood hazard areas, river corridors
S. 237 (Act 113)

Genuine
Progress Indicator

## Environmental Position: Support Bill

Act 138 clarifies and strengthens river protection, especially during floods. It requires the ANR to create rules to regulate buildings in flood zones that were previously exempt, bringing Vermont into compliance with FEMA requirements. It also establishes new rules and training requirements to prepare for flood emergency management of things like stream alteration, fish and wildlife habitat, and wastewater discharges. The ANR replaces the Water Resources Panel in authority over all water rules, and a water rule advisory committee is created.
Yeas: 123 Nays: 14 Absent: 12 ~ Bill Signed by Governor Shumlin
Environmental Position: Support Bill
Act 113 provides a path to development of a "Genuine Progress Indicator," and establishes a reporting schedule so that the GPI can be used as an additional measure of state health in addition to GSP (Gross State Product). "GPI" is a measure of the net benefits of economic activity (both "goods" and "bads"), while traditional Gross Domestic Product, or GDP, measures only total economic activity. "GPI" can measure environmental impacts and relative values.

Yeas: 97 Nays: 41 Absent: 11 ~ Bill Signed by Governor Shumlin

Pro-environment vote
Anti-environment vote
A Absent (counts as a negative)
P Presiding
EX Not in office when vote was cast

## Representative

| Acinapura, Joe | Brandon | R | 50\% | 41\% | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | X | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ancel, Janet | Calais | D | 100\% | 96\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Andrews, Margaret | Rutland City | D | 88\% | 88\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Aswad, William N. | Burlington | D | 50\% | 75\% | A | $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ |
| Atkins, Kenneth W. | Winooski | D | 75\% | 74\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $X$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| Bartholomew, John L. | Hartland | D | 100\% | 100\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Batchelor, Lynn | Derby | R | 38\% | 38\% | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| Bissonnette, Clement | Winooski | D | 100\% | 97\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Bohi, Charles S. | Hartford | D | 100\% | 95\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Botzow, Bill | Pownal | D | 100\% | 94\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Bouchard, Bob | Colchester | R | 25\% | 25\% | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| Branagan, Carolyn Whitne | Georgia | R | 38\% | 31\% | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| Brennan, Patrick M. | Colchester | R | 0\% | 14\% | $x$ | $x$ | X | $x$ | $x$ | A | A | $x$ |
| Browning, Cynthia M. | Arlington | D | 75\% | 68\% | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | X | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Burditt, Tom | West Rutland | R | 13\% | 13\% | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | A | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| Burke, Mollie | Brattleboro | P | 100\% | 100\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Buxton, Sarah E. | Tunbridge | D | 100\% | 100\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Campion, Brian | Bennington | D | 100\% | 100\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Canfield, William | Fair Haven | R | 50\% | 44\% | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| Cheney, Margaret | Norwich | D | 100\% | 95\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Christie, Kevin "Coach" | Hartford | D | 100\% | 100\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Clark, Gregory S. | Vergennes | R | 13\% | 23\% | A | A | A | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | A | $x$ | $x$ |
| Clarkson, Alison H. | Woodstock | D | 100\% | 96\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Condon, Jim | Colchester | D | 38\% | 53\% | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | A | A | $x$ | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Conquest, Chip | Newbury | D | 100\% | 100\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Consejo, Michel | Sheldon | D | 50\% | 77\% | $\checkmark$ | X | $\checkmark$ | X | X | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | X |

$\checkmark$ Pro-environment vote

## $X$ Anti-environment vote

A Absent (counts as a negative)
P Presiding
EX Not in office when vote was taken

Representative
Town


## Lifetime Score \%

| $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | A | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ |
| $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | A |
| $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | A | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $x$ | $\checkmark$ | A | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | A |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | A | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $\checkmark$ | A | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

Pro-environment vote
$X$ Anti-environment vote
A Absent (counts as a negative)
P Presiding
EX Not in office when vote was cast

Representative

| Haas, Sandy | Rochester | P | 88\% | 95\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Head, Helen | So. Burlington | D | 100\% | 94\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Heath, Martha P. | Westford | D | 100\% | 95\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Hebert, Michael | Vernon | R | 50\% | 50\% | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| Helm, Robert | Fair Haven | R | 50\% | 24\% | $\checkmark$ | A | X | X | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $X$ |
| Higley, Mark A. | Lowell | R | 13\% | 35\% | X | X | X | X | A | $\checkmark$ | $X$ | $X$ |
| Hooper, Mary S. | Montpelier | D | 100\% | 100\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Howard, Adam | Cambridge | R | 88\% | 65\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Howrigan, Richard J. | Fairfield | D | 50\% | 38\% | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | A | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | A | A |
| Hubert, Ronald E. | Milton | R | 0\% | 14\% | $x$ | X | $\times$ | $x$ | X | $\times$ | X | $\times$ |
| Jerman, Tim | Essex Jct. | D | 100\% | 95\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Jewett, Willem | Ripton | D | 100\% | 95\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | P | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Johnson, William F. | Canaan | R | 38\% | 24\% | $x$ | X | A | $\checkmark$ | X | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| Johnson, Mitzi | So. Hero | D | 100\% | 89\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Keenan, Kathleen C. | St. Albans | D | 88\% | 71\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ |
| Kilmartin, Duncan F | Newport | R | 25\% | 21\% | $x$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| Kitzmiller, Warren F. | Montpelier | D | 88\% | 83\% | $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Klein, Tony | E. Montpelier | D | 100\% | 95\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Koch, Thomas F. | Barre | R | 50\% | 30\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $x$ | X | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| Komline, Patti | Dorset | R | 63\% | 45\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | A | X | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| Krebs, Bob | So. Hero | D | 100\% | 86\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Krowinski, Jill | Burlington | D | 100\% | 100\% | EX | EX | EX | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Kupersmith, Michelle | So. Burlington | D | 88\% | 90\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Lanpher, Diane | Vergennes | D | 100\% | 86\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Larocque, Leigh | St. Johnsbury | R | 50\% | 27\% | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | X | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| Larson, Mark | Burlington | D | 67\% | 89\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | A | EX | EX | EX | EX | EX |

## SCORECARD KEY

Pro-environment vote
$X$ Anti-environment vote
A Absent (counts as a negative)
P Presiding
EX Not in office when vote was cast

Representative
Town


| Lawrence, Richard | Lydonville | R | 25\% | 31\% | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lenes, Joan G. | Shelburne | D | 100\% | 97\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Leriche, Lucy | Hardwick | D | 100\% | 90\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Lewis, Patti J. | Berlin | R | 38\% | 38\% | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| Lewis, Robert W | Derby | R | 13\% | 25\% | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| Lippert, William J. | Hinseburg | D | 88\% | 82\% | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Lorber, Jason P. | Burlington | D | 50\% | 82\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | A | A | A | A |
| Macaig, Terence | Williston | D | 100\% | 100\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Malcolm, John W. | Pawlet | D | 88\% | 89\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Manwaring, Ann | Wilmington | D | 88\% | 80\% | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Marcotte, Michael J. | Newport | R | 25\% | 26\% | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Marek, Richard J. | Newfane | D | 100\% | 97\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Martin, Cynthia T. | Springfield | D | 88\% | 96\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | A |
| Martin, Linda J. | Wolcott | D | 100\% | 90\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Masland, Jim | Thetford Ctr. | D | 100\% | 99\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| McAllister, Norman H. | Frankllin | R | 13\% | 21\% | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | A | A | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| McCullough, Jim | Williston | D | 100\% | 97\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| McFaun, Francis M. | Barre | R | 50\% | 54\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $x$ | A | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| McNeil, James L. | Rutland Town | R | 13\% | 18\% | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | A | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | A | A |
| Miller, Alice | Shaftsbury | D | 75\% | 86\% | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Mook, Anne H. | Bennington | D | 100\% | 94\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Moran, John | Wardsboro | D | 100\% | 92\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Morrissey, Mary | Bennington | R | 13\% | 26\% | $x$ | A | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | A | A | A | $x$ |
| Mrowicki, Michael | Putney | D | 100\% | 95\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Munger, Bert | So. Burlington | D | 88\% | 88\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

Pro-environment vote
$X$ Anti-environment vote
A Absent (counts as a negative)
P Presiding
EX Not in office when vote was cast

Representative
Town
Party


| Myers, Linda K. | Essex Jct. | R | 50\% | 37\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nuovo, Betty A. | Middlebury | D | 100\% | 99\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| O'Brien, Anne Theresa | Richmond | D | 63\% | 74\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | A | A | A |
| O'Sullivan, Jean | Burlington | D | 80\% | 80\% | EX | EX | EX | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | A |
| Olsen, Oliver K. | Jamaica | R | 88\% | 65\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Partridge, Carolyn W. | Windham | D | 88\% | 84\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Pearce, Albert "Chuck" | Richford | R | 38\% | 47\% | $x$ | $x$ | $\sqrt{ }$ C | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| Pearson, Christopher | Burlington | P | 100\% | 100\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Peaslee, Janice L. | Guildhall | R | 25\% | 17\% | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | A |
| Peltz, Peter | Woodbury | D | 100\% | 84\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Perley, Peter E. | Enosburg | R | 25\% | 41\% | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| Poirier, Paul | Barre City | I | 88\% | 80\% | $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Potter, Dave | Clarendon | D | 100\% | 84\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Pugh, Ann D. | So. Burlington | D | 75\% | 85\% | A | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Ralston, Paul | Middlebury | D | 100\% | 100\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Ram, Kesha | Burlington | D | 100\% | 100\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Reis, Gerald, W. | St. Johnsbury | R | 13\% | 21\% | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | A | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| Russell, Herb | Rutland City | D | 100\% | 100\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Savage, Brian | Swanton | R | 13\% | 28\% | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| Scheuermann, Heidi E. | Stowe | R | 38\% | 35\% | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| Shand, Ernest | Windsor | D | 88\% | 86\% | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Sharpe, David | Bristol | D | 88\% | 94\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Shaw, Butch | Pittsford | R | 50\% | 46\% | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| Smith, Shap | Morrisville | D | P | 79\% | P | P | P | A | P | P | P | P |
| Smith, Harvey | New Haven | R | 13\% | 28\% | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $x$ |

Pro-environment vote
$X$ Anti-environment vote
A Absent (counts as a negative)
P Presiding
EX Not in office when vote was cast

Representative
Town


Lifetime Score \% H. 56 VT Energy Act of 2011
H. 258 Public Participation
H. 464 Fracking Ban
H. 468 VT Energy Act of 2012
H. 496 Working Lands

## S. 202 Flood Hazards

| South, Robert | St.Johnsbury | D | 38\% | 62\% | $\checkmark$ | X | A | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spengler, Kristy | Colchester | D | 88\% | 91\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Stevens, Tom | Waterbury | D | 100\% | 100\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Stevens, Will | Shoreham | I | 75\% | 85\% | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | A |
| Strong, Vicki | Albany | R | 25\% | 25\% | X | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | A | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | X |
| Stuart, Valerie A. | Brattleboro | D | 100\% | 100\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Sweaney, Donna G. | Windsor | D | 100\% | 99\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Taylor, Tess | Barre City | D | 88\% | 94\% | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Till, George | Jericho | D | 88\% | 87\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Toll, Kitty Beattie | Danville | D | 88\% | 94\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Townsend, Lawerence | Randolph | D | 75\% | 73\% | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ |
| Trieber, Matthew | Rockingham | D | 88\% | 88\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Turner, Donald H. | Milton | R | 13\% | 17\% | $x$ | x | A | x | x | $x$ | A | $\checkmark$ |
| Waite-Simpson, Linda J. | Essex | D | 100\% | 100\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Webb, Kate | Shelburne | D | 100\% | 93\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Weston, Rachel | Burlington | D | 100\% | 93\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | EX | EX | EX | EX | EX |
| Wilson, Jeff | Manchester | D | 100\% | 100\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Winters, Philip C. | Williamstow | R | 0\% | 23\% | A | A | A | $x$ | $x$ | A | A | $\times$ |
| Wizowaty, Suzi | Burlington | D | 100\% | 100 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Woodward, Mark | Johnson | D | 75\% | 75\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Wright, Kurt | Burlington | R | 63\% | 42\% | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| Yantachka, Mike | Charlotte | D | 100\% | 100\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Young, Sam | Glover | D | 75\% | 75\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Zagar, Teo | Barnard | D | 100\% | 100\% | EX | EX | EX | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## SENATE BILL DESCRIPTIONS

H. 258 (Act 73)<br>Public Participation in<br>Environmental<br>Enforcement<br>Proceedings<br>禁

H. 91 (Act 54)

Wildlife Bill
H. 464 (Act 152)

Hydraulic Natural Gas
Fracking Ban
H. 496 (Act 142)

Working Lands
彝

Environmental Position: Support Bill
Act 73 gives citizens the opportunity to weigh-in when the state proposes fines for violations of the state's environmental laws.

Yeas: 27 Nays: 2 Absent: 1 ~ Bill Signed by Governor Shumlin

## Environmental Position: Support Bill

Act 54 affirmed that wildlife is a public trust resource and cannot be owned by an individual. It also transferred authority for regulating captive hunt facilities from the Agency of Agriculture to the Department of Fish and Wildlife. Among other things, the bill was aimed at reducing the chance that wild animal populations could be infected with chronic wasting disease or other diseases carried by captive animals that may escape from captive hunt enclosures.
Yeas: 24 Nays: 4 Absent: 2 ~ Bill Signed by Governor Shumlin

Environmental Position: Support Bill
Act 152 makes Vermont the first state to prohibit hydraulic fracturing (aka "fracking") for natural gas- a process in which chemicals, water, and sand are forced into the bedrock to release natural gas. The practice has been blamed for contaminated groundwater in other states.

Yeas: 27 Nays: 1 Absent: 2 ~ Bill Signed by Governor Shumlin

Environmental Position: Support Bill
Act 142 supports businesses that rely on Vermont's rural working landscape by strategically investing in farm and forest-related value-added processing. In so doing, the bill helps to conserve the landscape by increasing the economic viability of these enterprises.

Yeas: 28 Nays: 0 Absent: 2 ~Bill Signed by Governor Shumlin

## Environmental Position: Support Bill

Act 170 is a measure to promote renewable energy in Vermont passed late in the session, but not before being stripped of one of its primary provisions. The Renewable Portfolio Standard, which would have set a goal of $75 \%$ renewably sourced electricity by 2032, was dropped from the bill and handed to the PSB for further study. But the remaining bill encourages smaller renewable energy projects, and requires ANR to develop a greenhouse gas accounting protocol.

Yeas: 21 Nays: 4 Absent: 5 ~ Bill Signed by Governor Shumlin

## Vote Green On November 6, 2012

## What Does Your Vermont Look Like?

If your Vermont looks like this remember to Vote Green to keek Vermont Green. Work with VT LCV to turn your environmenta values into state priorities.
www.vtlcv.org



The Vermont League of Conservation Voters would like to recognize these individual legislators who have shown leadership and ongoing commitment to Vermont's environment.

## House Environmental Champions

Janet Ancel
Bill Botzow Margaret Cheney
Gale Courcelle Sarah Edwards William Frank Sandy Haas Tim Jerman
Bob Krebs
Joan Lenes
Cynthia Martin
Anne Mook
Paul Ralston
Kristy Spengler
Linda Waite-Simpson Kate Webb Suzi Wizowaty

John Bartholomew Mollie Burke Kevin "Coach" Christie Leigh Dakin Rebecca Ellis Patsy French Helen Head Willem Jewett Jill Krowinski Lucy Leriche Linda Martin Michael Mrowicki Kesha Ram Tom Stevens Mike Yantachka

Clem Bissonnette Charles Bohi
Sarah Buxton Brian Campion
Alison Clarkson Chip Conquest
David Deen
Alice Emmons
Eldred French
Martha Heath
Mitzi Johnson Michelle Kupersmith Diane Lanpher Terence Macaig Richard Marek Jim Masland Betty Nuovo Herb Russell Valerie Stuart
Rachel Weston
Teo Zagar

## Senate Environmental Champions

Joseph Benning Mark Mac Donald Diane Snelling

Anne Cummings Richard McCormack Jeanette White

Robert Hartwell Anthony Pollina

## Political Party Averages 2011-2012

## VERMONT HOUSE

Democratic Party
Independent 82\%
Progressive Party 93\%
Republican Party

## VERMONT SENATE

Democratic Party $86 \%$
Progressive Party 90\%
Republican Party 83\%

Now that you know the score... GET INVOLVED!

## 1. TELL LEGISLATORS YOU KNOW THE SCORE

One of the best ways to influence our elected officials is by regular communication. If your legislators scored well, thank them. If they scored poorly, let them know you are disappointed and want them to value Vermont's environment. A great way to do this is by writing a Letter to the Editor of your local paper. You can find your legislators' contact information by going to www.leg.state.vt.us

## 2. SUPPORT CONSERVATION CANDIDATES

Use the scorecard to make informed decisions about which candidates to vote for on November 6th. Visit the Vermont League of Conservation Voters website at www.vtlcv.org for more information on the pro-environment candidates whom we've endorsed.

## 3. CONTRIBUTE TO VT LCV

VT LCV is working to turn your environmental values into state priorities by taking on the tough fights in the legislature and by ensuring that the best candidates are elected to Vermont's legislature. Please join other Vermonters by becoming a contributor to VT LCV today. Visit our website for more information, www.vtlcv.org

The Vermont League of Conservation Voters Board of Directors: Stark Biddle, Judy Bevans,
Charlotte Hana, Sue Prent, Rebecca Purdhom, Warner Shed, and Tom Slayton.
P.O. Box 744, Montpelier, Vermont, 07502 info@vtlcv.org, 802-224-9090


Vermont League of Conservation Voters

